IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETIITON NO. 2258 OF 2007
ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NOS. 2259 & 2260 OF 2007

Nisarga Forest India Ltd..... Petitioner

versus

Mahendra Laxman Gadge & ors..... Respondent.

Mr. Balkrishna Joshi & Mrs. Gauri Jadhav for the petitioner.
Mr. P.A.Pol App for State.

CORAM; A.P. DESHPANDE, J.
DATED; 11TH DECEMBER, 2007

P.C.;

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the Writ Petition with liberty to file revision before the Sessions Court. Permission granted. Allowed to be withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. Writ Petition is summarily dismissed.

2. At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioner apprehends that the time spent in prosecuting the Writ Petition before this court may not be condoned. The apprehension is ill-founded in as much as the petitioners have been bonafidely prosecuting the remedy before wrong forum and hence if such an application is moved before the revisional court, the time spent in prosecuting the petition,

would be condoned by the revisional court.

....